

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,031	12/14/2001	Ryoichi Takahira	56937-042	5610
20277 7590 02/25/2008 MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP 600 13TH STREET, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			VENT, JAMIE J	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/018,031	TAKAHIRA, RYOICHI				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Jamie Vent ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
Period fo							
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a solution of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA (6(a). In no event, however, may a rep rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH- cause the application to become ABAN	ATION.  ly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 No.	ovember 2007.					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 5-7</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 5-7</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	1	·				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed onis/ are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
•		nriority under 35 H.S.C. & 1	119(a)-(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
			•				
Attachmen	ot(s)						
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)				
. ==	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Mail Date  ormal Patent Application				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed November 27, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. On pages 6-8 applicant argues that Takahashi in view of Takada fails to disclose, teach, or suggest the following limitation, "wherein said recording means sets a rotational speed of said head cylinder so that each signal section may be subdivided into such a number of deletion tracks that is obtained by dividing said predetermined number of tracks for each signal section by an integer smaller than said number of track" as recited in Claim 1. It is noted Takahashi discloses a system wherein through a method of effective recording improves recording density through dividing the video signal by a factor of N and thereby improve recording through the arrangement of the head cylinder (Column 1 Lines 30-40). Furthermore, it is stated the use of enabling the rotary drum to change the rotational speed through the further dividing the signal (Column 1 Lines 45-60). Although, all of applicants points are understood the examiner can not agree and therefore the rejection is maintained.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2621

Claims 1, 3,5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahashi et al (US 5,581,363) in further view of Takada et al (US 5,715,104).

# [claim 1]

In regard to Claim 1, Takahashi et al discloses a magnetic recorder/reproducer comprising:

- recording means for rotating a head cylinder at a rotational speed lower than such a rotational speed of said head cylinder that corresponds to said timing
   (Column 4 Lines 15+ describes the speed lower of the recording and reproducing timing);
- wherein said recording means sets a rotational speed of said head cylinder so
  that each signal section may be subdivided into such a number of deletion tracks
  that is obtained by dividing said predetermined number of tracks for each signal
  section by an integer smaller than said number of track (Column 1 Lines 30-40
  describes the dividing of the signal by an integer to result in the rotational speed
  of the recording means) however fails to disclose
- input signal converting means for modulating an input signal at a timing which corresponds to a predetermined number of tracks for each signal section to thereby convert said input signal into a recording signal;

Takada et al teaches the use of a modulator as seen in Figure 46 element 153. The modulator allows for the encoding of the recording video data so as to be optimum data suitable for recording and reproducing. Furthermore, allows the track to coordinate to a predetermined track number as described in Column 6 Lines 55+. Therefore, it would

Application/Control Number: 10/018,031

Art Unit: 2621

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the system of a magnetic recorder, as disclosed by Takahashi et al, and further incorporate a system that allows for the modulation of the input signal, as described by Takada et al, to allow for effective processing of the recorded signal.

#### [claim 3]

In regard to Claim 3, Takahashi et al discloses a magnetic recorder/reproducer wherein said recording means adjusts a transporting speed of said recording tape during recording corresponding to a set rotational speed of said head cylinder (Column 2 Lines 24-63 describes the adjustment of transport speed and corresponding to the rotational speed of the head cylinder).

# [claim 5]

In regard to Claim 5, Takahashi et al discloses a magnetic recorder/reproducer further comprising:

- first memory means; first write control means for writing said recording signal into said first memory means at a write timing which corresponds to said predetermined number of tracks for each signal section (Figure 5 shows the memory for the system that allows the writing of tracks and information to the system); and
- first read control means for reading said recording signal stored in said
   first memory means at a timing that corresponds to said number of
   deletion tracks and then supplying said number to said recording means

(Column 2 Lines 45+ describes the read control means for the timing of the deletion of information).

### [claim 6]

In regard to Claim 6, Takahashi et al discloses a magnetic recorder/reproducer wherein said first write control means finely adjusts said write and read timing (Column 2 Lines 30+ describes the controlling and adjusting of the timing of the recorder).

#### [claim 7]

In regard to Claim 7, the claim limitations have been addressed in Claim 6.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,031

Art Unit: 2621

#### Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamie Vent whose telephone number is 571-272-7384. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller can be reached on 571-272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JJV

JOHN MILLER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600